Vlasov-Fokker-Planck (VFP) Simulation

Kinetic modelling on hydro timescale

Tony Bell University of Oxford

With thanks to many others:

Eduardo Epperlein, Graham Rickard, Richard Town, Jonathan Davies, Robert Kingham, Alex Robinson, William Hornsby, Mark Sherlock, Chris Ridgers, Michail Tzoufras

especially Michail Tzoufras for recent work

Where it started for laser-plasmas ...

Indications of Strongly Flux-Limited Electron Thermal Conduction in Laser-Target Experiments*

R. C. Malone, R. L. McCrory, and R. L. Morse

Theoretical Division, University of California, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87544 (Received 9 December 1974)

> It is shown by comparison with calculations that anomalies in the results of intense laser irradiation of solid targets, including two-humped ion distributions, indicate a reduction of electron thermal conduction to considerably below classical values. This reduction is interpreted as a flux limit and appears to be sufficiently restrictive to modify significantly the design of laser fusion targets.

Fokker-Planck account of 'flux limitation'

Bell, Evans & Nicholas (1981)



Shock ignition

- Betti et al PRL 98 155001 (2007)
- Theobald et al Phys Plasmas15 056306 (2008)
- Ribeyre et al PPCF 51, 015013 (2009)

Stage 1: compression

Stage 2: ignition

High intensity ~6x10¹⁵Wcm⁻² ~100-300psec

Convergent shock heats fuel to ignition temperature further compression



Figure from: Betti et al (2008) JPhys conf series 112 022024



VFP reduces pressure



10-19

High energy transport regime

High laser intensity Fast electrons

High intensity Fast electrons

Fast heating of ultrahigh-density plasma as a step towards laser fusion ignition

а

R. Kodama*, P. A. Norreys†, K. Mima*, A. E. Dangor‡, R. G. Evans§, H. Fujita*, Y. Kitagawa*, K. Krushelnick‡, T. Miyakoshi*, N. Miyanaga*, T. Norimatsu*, S. J. Rose†, T. Shozaki*, K. Shigemori*, A. Sunahara*, M. Tampo*, K. A. Tanaka*||, Y. Toyama*, T. Yamanaka* & M. Zepf‡

40-TW laser

40





200

Sample collision times & mfp

Conventional ICF

Thermal (1keV) ICF electron at critical density (λ =0.3 μ m), Z=4, log Λ =4

$$mfp \cong 4 \mu m$$
 $\tau_e \cong 0.1 \text{psec}$

Thermal (100eV) ICF electron at solid density ($n=30n_{crit}$), Z=4, logA=4

$$mfp \cong 0.001 \mu m$$
 $\tau_e \cong 0.1 \text{fsec}$

Fast ignition

Fast electrons (100keV) at solid density (n=30n_{crit}), Z=4, log Λ =4

$$mfp \cong 1000 \mu m$$
 $\tau_e \cong 3 \text{psec}$

Yet collisions are crucial in Fast Ignition

Collisionality

electron energy in keV

 $mfp = 4 \frac{\varepsilon_{keV}^2}{n / n_{crit}} \mu m$

One experiment can cover a range of /energies from eV to MeV

Even when T=1keV, energy carrying electrons have energy of 10keV with mfp~100x thermal mfp

Most laser-plasma experiments span range from collisionless to collision-dominated

The return current

For quasi-neutrality: $\nabla j_{hot} = -\nabla j_{cold}$

Inductance: j_{hot} =- j_{cold} to good approximation



Suppose return current by separate route



Current of 3x10⁹ Amp to carry 30kJ in 100 psec

```
Beamwidth of 100\mum: B ~ 10<sup>11</sup> G
```

Energy in magnetic field ~ 1GJ

Energetically impossible for forward/return currents to separate

For quasi-neutrality: $\nabla . j_{hot} = -\nabla . j_{cold}$ Inductance: $j_{hot} = -j_{cold}$ to good approximation

Equation for B



 $\nabla xB = \mu_0(j_{hot}+j_{cold})$ $\delta B/\delta t = -\nabla x E$ $E = \eta j_{cold}$



cold e⁻ return over slightly larger radius

 $B \sim R_{10}^{-3/5} P_{14}^{-1/5} T_{10}^{-1/5} t_{12}^{-1/5} Z_4^{2/5} 1.6 MG$

Condition for beam collimation



where

- R_{10} = beam radius/10µm
- P_{14} = power in electron beam/10¹⁴W
- T_{10} = hot electron temp/10MeV
- t_{12} = time/psec
- Z_4 = ion charge/4
- θ_{20} = opening half angle of beam/20⁰

Collimated energy transport



Takarakis et al, PRL 81, 999 (1998)

Channel seen through glass



Borghesi et al PRL 83, 4309 (1999)

FIG. 2. Shadowgram taken during the interaction of a 20 TW, 1 ps pulse with a solid glass target coated with 1 μ m of Al.



FIG. 4. Profile of background heating in eV as predicted by the hybrid code at 2 ps after the peak of the pulse.

Methods of Numerical simulation 20-27

Simulation methods

Non-Spitzer nearly-thermal transport, shock ignition **VFP** codes ideal

Fast electron transport

VFP accurate but slow & difficult to use for fast ignition (as yet)
Collisionless PIC misses essential physics
Hybrid codes easy but thermal resistivity questionable
Collisional PIC not well-suited to collision-dominated regime
PIC+resistive fields look very promising

Our aim:

VFP code which can operate across complete range: collisionless/collisional (Tzoufras et al, submitted to JCompPhys)

Other places where VFP useful: hohlraums

magnetic field non-local transport (long mfp)



Other places where VFP useful: filamentation



Epperlein 1990: Non-local transport reduces heat loss from filament Gives stronger filamentation

Other places where VFP useful: ion acceleration



Fast electrons form electric sheath at rear surface Electric sheath field accelerates ions

Fast electrons drive resistive magnetic field – collimated transport

Other places where VFP useful: ionisation

VFP a platform for extra physics













Other places where VFP useful: astrophysics



Chandra observations

NASA/CXC/Rutgers/ J.Hughes et al. NASA/CXC/Rutgers/ J.Warren & J.Hughes et al. NASA/CXC/NCSU/ S.Reynolds et al. NASA/CXC/MIT/UMass Amherst/ M.D.Stage et al. How VFP compares with other simulation methods

28-41

Equations representing plasmas

Particle in cell PIC

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = e(E + v \times B) \qquad \qquad \frac{dr}{dt} = v$$
$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} = -\nabla \times E \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial E}{\partial t} = c^2 \nabla \times B - \frac{j}{\varepsilon_0}$$

Fluid/MHD

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} = -\nabla .(\rho u) \qquad \rho \frac{du}{dt} = -\nabla P - \frac{1}{\mu_0} B \times (\nabla \times B)$$
$$\frac{dU}{dt} = -P\nabla .u + \nabla .(\kappa \nabla T) \qquad P = P(U, \rho) \qquad \frac{\partial B}{\partial t} = \nabla \times (u \times B)$$

How do these equations simulate the same configuration?

Apply in different limits

PIC/MHD comparison

Thermal relaxation

MHD assumes thermal relaxation PIC makes no assumptions about momentum distribution

Collisions

MHD best when collision-dominated PIC best when collisionless

Material properties

MHD models equation of state, transport properties, radiation transfer PIC neglects these

Timescales

PIC: laser/Langmuir frequency, models absorption LPI instabilities MHD: models target implosion, hydro instabilities

VFP in the middle

Thermal relaxation: non-Maxwellian but simply structured distributions Collisions: range between dominant and weak Material properties: included through fluid treatment of ions Timescales: kinetic theory on hydro timescale

The Vlasov-Fokker-Planck (VFP) equation



$$f(x, y, z, p_x, p_y, p_z, t) dx dy dz dp_x dp_y dp_z$$

= number of electrons in phase space volume $dx dy dz dp_x dp_y dp_z$

Vlasov equation (no collisions)



Fokker-Planck equation for collisions

Small angle scattering: diffusion & advection in momentum space



Diffusion + advection = 'Fokker-Planck' equation

Collisions (Fokker-Planck)

Collisions generate entropy by diffusion, reduce information Use to advantage

Dominant collision terms in spherical polars in momentum space

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{p^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left(p^2 D_p \frac{\partial f}{\partial p} \right) - \frac{1}{p^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left(p^2 A f \right) + \frac{1}{p^2 \sin \vartheta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta} \left(\sin \vartheta D_\perp \frac{\partial f}{\partial \vartheta} \right) + \frac{D_\perp}{p^2 \sin^2 \vartheta} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \phi^2}$$
Relapso these to get Maxwellian

Balance these to get Maxwellian

Angular scattering, mainly by ions

Natural expansion for f

$$f(x,\mathbf{p},t) = \sum_{l,m} f_l^m(x,p,t) P_l^m(\cos\theta) e^{im\phi}$$
 Spherical harmonics

Terminate expansion at low order

saves memory, processor time

Spherical harmonics



In spherical polars

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{p^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left(p^2 \left[D_p \frac{\partial f}{\partial p} - Af \right] \right) + \frac{1}{p^2 \sin \vartheta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta} \left(\sin \vartheta D_\perp \frac{\partial f}{\partial \vartheta} \right) + \frac{D_\perp}{p^2 \sin^2 \vartheta} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \phi^2}$$

Angular scattering stronger than energy diffusion $D_{\perp} \approx ZD_p$

Simplifies to
$$\frac{\partial f_l^m}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{p^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left(p^2 \left[D_p \frac{\partial f_l^m}{\partial p} - A f_l^m \right] \right) - \frac{l(l+1)}{2} \frac{D_\perp}{p^2} f_l^m$$

Dominant term
Angular scattering $\frac{\partial f_l^m}{\partial t} = -\frac{l(l+1)}{2} \frac{D_\perp}{p^2} f_l^m$ has solution $f_l^m \propto \exp\left(-\frac{l(l+1)}{2} \frac{D_\perp}{p^2} t\right)$

High order harmonics decay rapidly – can truncate harmonic expansion

Other ways of gridding momentum space

Rectangular grid in p_x, p_y p_z

OK in 1D but too much memory/computation in 3D Collisions move diagonally across grid

Grid in cos θ

need many grid points for simple function – eg 1st harmonic difficult boundary at θ =0 and θ = π

Grid in ϕ

fine for collisionless problems in special geometry

Tensor expansion

$$f = \sum f_0(p) + f_i(p)\frac{p_i}{p} + f_{ij}(p)\frac{p_ip_j}{p^2} + f_{ijk}(p)\frac{p_ip_jp_k}{p^3} + f_{ijkl}(p)\frac{p_ip_jp_kp_l}{p^4} \dots$$

Equivalent to spherical harmonics

Simpler to first order
$$f = f_0(p) + f_x(p)\frac{p_x}{p} + f_y(p)\frac{p_y}{p} + f_z(p)\frac{p_z}{p}$$

Messy at high order

Limitations of spherical polars

Deciding where to truncate the expansion

- truncating too early can cause run to collapse with positive or negative spikes in f
- present methods do not guarantee positivity
- electric field can react badly
- Can use 'artificial collisions' to reduce anisotropy

Singularity at p=0

truncate early close to p=0



Phase change rotates about centre

(Michail Tzoufras)

Collisions remove anisotropy at p=0

Magnetic field in spherical polars

Magnetic field rotates in momentum

For example: 'Vertical' B rotates I=10, m=0

General formula for effect of magnetic field:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{B}_{n}^{m} &= -\mathrm{i}\frac{eB_{x}}{m_{e}}mf_{n}^{m} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{e}{m_{e}}[(n-m)(n+m+1)(B_{z}-\mathrm{i}B_{y})f_{n}^{m+1} - (B_{z}+\mathrm{i}B_{y})f_{n}^{m-1}].\\ \text{For }m &= 0,\\ \Re[\mathcal{B}_{n}^{0}] &= -\frac{e}{m_{e}}n(n+1)(B_{z}\Re[f_{n}^{1}] + B_{y}\Im[f_{n}^{1}]) \end{split}$$

Algebraic: no differentials

 $eB/m_e = 2x10^{13}sec^{-1}$ when B = 1MG

Solve implicitly as complex tri-diagonal matrix equation

Diffusion approximation

where VFP really wins over other methods (when it is valid)

The essence of non-local transport



Diffusion approximation

Otherwise known as f_0+f_1 approximation

Tensor form
$$f = f_0(p) + f_x(p)\frac{p_x}{p} + f_y(p)\frac{p_y}{p} + f_z(p)\frac{p_z}{p} \iff f = f_0 + \mathbf{f}_1 \cdot \frac{\mathbf{p}}{|\mathbf{p}|}$$

Spherical $f = f_0 + f_1^0(p)\cos\vartheta + \operatorname{Re}\left\{f_1^1(p)\right\}\sin\vartheta\cos\phi - \operatorname{Im}\left\{f_1^1(p)\right\}\sin\vartheta\sin\phi$

Assume (correct in many cases) that higher order terms are negligible

This is where VFP is a real winner!

Diffusion approximation

Use vector notation

$$f(\mathbf{p}) = f_0(|\mathbf{p}|) + \mathbf{f}_1(|\mathbf{p}|) \cdot \frac{\mathbf{p}}{|\mathbf{p}|} \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{f}_1 = (f_x, f_y, f_z)$$

 $f_0 \& f_1$ are functions of magnitude of momentum

First two moment equations



Simplest VFP code (B = 0)

Set up computational grid in x and v

Define f_0 on grid

In finite difference form, solve

$$\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{v^2}{3v} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial x} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{veE}{3v} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial v} \right) + \frac{1}{v^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \left(v^2 \left[D_p \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial v} - Af_0 \right] \right)$$
$$D_p \propto \frac{1}{v^3} \int_0^v u^2 F(u) du \qquad A \propto \frac{1}{v^2} \int_0^v u f_0(u) du \qquad F(u) = \int_u^\infty w f_0 dw$$

Calculate heat flow and electrical current by integrating in velocity

$$Q = -\frac{2\pi m_e}{3} \int \frac{v^6}{v} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial x} dv + \frac{2\pi eE}{3} \int \frac{v^5}{v} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial v} dv$$
$$j = -\frac{4\pi e}{3} \int \frac{v^4}{v} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial x} dv - \frac{2\pi e^2 E}{3m_e} \int \frac{v^3}{v} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial v} dv$$

Calculate E from $\Im E$

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial t} = -\frac{J}{\mathcal{E}_0}$$

•

Dominant terms with magnetic field

$$\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial t} + \frac{\mathbf{v}}{3} \nabla \mathbf{f}_1 = \frac{1}{p^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left(p^2 \left[D_p \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial p} - A f_0 \right] \right)$$

$$\mathbf{v}\nabla f_0 - e\mathbf{E}\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial p} - \boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{f}_1 = -\mathbf{v}\mathbf{f}_1$$

Even in one spatial dimension, f_1 can have three components



48-52

VFP solved implicitly

Similar to solving diffusion equations implicitly

Implicit solution for electric field $\frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial \mathbf{E}} = -\frac{\mathbf{j}}{\mathbf{j}} \quad (+c^2 \nabla \times \mathbf{B})$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial t} = -\frac{\mathbf{J}}{\varepsilon_0} \quad \left(+c^2 \nabla \times \mathbf{B}\right)$$

Electron plasma oscillations at solid density: $\omega_{pe}^{-1} \sim 0.3$ fsec For numerical stability $\Delta t \sim 0.1$ fsec

Implicit solution:
$$\frac{E_i^{new} - E_i^{old}}{\Delta t} = \frac{j_i^{new}}{\mathcal{E}_0}$$

 Δt limited by accuracy, not stability Integrates over plasma oscillations (inessential)

But j^{new} depends on f^{new} and E^{new}

Implicit solution for both f^{new} and E^{new} cannot be decoupled

Implicit solution – large non-linear matrix equation

$$\frac{f_0^{new} - f_0^{old}}{\Delta t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{v^2}{3v} \frac{\partial f_0^{new}}{\partial x} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{v e E^{new}}{3v} \frac{\partial f_0^{new}}{\partial v} \right) + \frac{1}{v^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \left(v^2 \left[D_p \frac{\partial f_0^{new}}{\partial v} - A f_0^{new} \right] \right)$$

$$j^{new} = -\frac{4\pi e}{3} \int \frac{v^4}{v} \frac{\partial f_0^{new}}{\partial x} dv - \frac{2\pi e^2 E^{new}}{3m_e} \int \frac{v^3}{v} \frac{\partial f_0^{new}}{\partial v} dv$$
Iterate on non-linear term
$$\frac{E^{new} - E^{old}}{\Delta t} = \frac{j^{new}}{\varepsilon_0}$$

Allows large timestep Works well for small excursions from equilibrium

IMPACT – a real tour de force!

Implicit code written by Robert Kingham et al (Imperial)

2D, includes magnetic field Extended to f_2 (Alex Thomas)

Matrix structure for 4x4x4 grid

Good for Long pulses Hohlraums Magnetic field

Optimal for leading order non-local effects Computationally efficient



IMPACT example: Non-local magnetic field generation (Kingham et al)

Uniform density





Cases where $f_0 + f_1$ is not enough

$f_0 + f_1$ cannot model beams

$$f(x, \mathbf{p}, t) = \sum_{l,m} f_l^m(x, p, t) P_l^m(\cos \theta) e^{im\phi}$$

Expansion to order n gives any polynomial in $\cos\theta$ to order n

eg
$$f = \cos^n \vartheta \cong \left(1 - \frac{\vartheta^2}{2}\right)^n$$

Need 16 harmonics for beam half-angle 20^o

Weibel instability

Ramakrishna et al (2009)

T=2ps

T=2ps

Wei et al (2004)

Weibel instability: opposing energetic electron beams

Diffusion $(f_0 + f_1)$ approximation

Insufficient for

- 1) strong anisotropy (eg beams)
- 2) magnetic field varying on scale of Larmor radius moving currents in space
- 3) current filamentation instabilities

If f_2 important then so probably is f_3 , f_4 etc

59-79

KALOS

AIM: encompass collisional & collisionless in one code

Formulated initially in 2002 Latest version by Michail Tzoufras (2011)

KALOS code

Kinetic a Laser-plasma o Simulation

Expand velocity distⁿ in spherical harmonics

$$f(x,y,v,\theta,\phi,t) = \sum f_{nm}(x,y,v,t) P_n^{|m|}(\cos\theta) e^{im\phi}$$

velocity coordinates in 3D

- Any degree of anisotropy by expanding to any order
- Operates well as Vlasov code (efficiently)
- Collisions and B easily included
- Collisional damping rate ~ n(n+1)
- Numerical equations simple efficient despite small explicit timestep

$$-\frac{v}{2} \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2n-1} \left[r^{m-1} \frac{\partial (r^{-m+1} f_{n-1}^{m-1})}{\partial r} - (n-m)(n-m-1)r^{-m-1} \frac{\partial (r^{m+1} f_{n-1}^{m+1})}{\partial r} \right] \\ + \frac{1}{2n+3} \left[-r^{m-1} \frac{\partial (r^{-m+1} f_{n+1}^{m-1})}{\partial r} + (n+m+1)(n+m+2)r^{-m-1} \frac{\partial (r^{m+1} f_{n+1}^{m+1})}{\partial r} \right] \end{cases}$$

 $-eE_{z}\left\{\frac{n-m}{2n-1}G_{n-1}^{m}+\frac{n+m+1}{2n+3}H_{n+1}^{m}\right\}$

$$-eE_{r}\frac{1}{2}\left\{\frac{1}{2n-1}\left[G_{n-1}^{m-1}-(n-m)(n-m-1)G_{n-1}^{m+1}\right]+\frac{1}{2n+3}\left[-H_{n+1}^{m-1}+(n+m+1)(n+m+2)H_{n+1}^{m+1}\right]\right\}$$

 $-eE_{\vartheta}\frac{i}{2}\left\{\frac{1}{2n-1}\left[-G_{n-1}^{m-1}-(n-m)(n-m-1)G_{n-1}^{m+1}\right]+\frac{1}{2n+3}\left[H_{n+1}^{m-1}+(n+m+1)(n+m+2)H_{n+1}^{m+1}\right]\right\}$

Spatial advection in cylindrical (r,z) geometry

$$\frac{\partial f_{n}^{m}}{\partial t} = -\left(\frac{n-m}{2n-1}\right) v \frac{\partial f_{n-1}^{m}}{\partial z} - \left(\frac{n+m+1}{2n+3}\right) v \frac{\partial f_{n+1}^{m}}{\partial z} \\ - \frac{v}{2} \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2n-1} \left[r^{m-1} \frac{\partial (r^{-m+1} f_{n-1}^{m-1})}{\partial r} - (n-m)(n-m-1)r^{-m-1} \frac{\partial (r^{m+1} f_{n-1}^{m+1})}{\partial r} \right] \\ + \frac{1}{2n+3} \left[-r^{m-1} \frac{\partial (r^{-m+1} f_{n+1}^{m-1})}{\partial r} + (n+m+1)(n+m+2)r^{-m-1} \frac{\partial (r^{m+1} f_{n+1}^{m+1})}{\partial r} \right] \end{cases}$$

Advection in momentum due to electric field

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f_n^m}{\partial t} &= -eE_z \left\{ \frac{n-m}{2n-1} G_{n-1}^m + \frac{n+m+1}{2n+3} H_{n+1}^m \right\} \\ &- eE_r \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2n-1} \left[G_{n-1}^{m-1} - (n-m)(n-m-1) G_{n-1}^{m+1} \right] + \frac{1}{2n+3} \left[-H_{n+1}^{m-1} + (n+m+1)(n+m+2) H_{n+1}^{m+1} \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$-eE_{\vartheta}\frac{i}{2}\left\{\frac{1}{2n-1}\left[-G_{n-1}^{m-1}-(n-m)(n-m-1)G_{n-1}^{m+1}\right]+\frac{1}{2n+3}\left[H_{n+1}^{m-1}+(n+m+1)(n+m+2)H_{n+1}^{m+1}\right]\right\}$$

$$G_n^m(p) = \frac{\partial f_n^m}{\partial p} - n \frac{f_n^m}{p} = p^n \frac{\partial (p^{-n} f_n^m)}{\partial p}$$
$$H_n^m(p) = \frac{\partial f_n^m}{\partial p} + (n+1) \frac{f_n^m}{p} = \frac{1}{p^{n+1}} \frac{\partial (p^{n+1} f_n^m)}{\partial p}$$

Rotation by magnetic field: $\omega = eB/\gamma m$

$$\frac{\partial f_n^m}{\partial t} = \omega_z i \{ m f_n^m \}$$

+
$$\omega_r \frac{i}{2} \{(n-m)(n+m+1)f_n^{m+1} + f_n^{m-1}\}$$

+
$$\omega_{\vartheta} \frac{1}{2} \{ (n-m)(n+m+1) f_n^{m+1} - f_n^{m-1} \}$$

Collisions

- 1) Scattering by ions
- 2) Scattering by isotropic electron distribution

$$\frac{\partial f_n^m}{\partial t} = -\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \upsilon_{\perp} f_n^m + \frac{\upsilon_{\parallel}}{\nu^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left(D_{\parallel} \frac{\partial f_n^m}{\partial \nu} + E f_n^m \right)$$

Assumes Rosenbluth potentials dominated by $f_0^{\,0}$

 D_{\parallel} & *E* are integrals over f_0^0 in velocity space

ADDITIONALLY Momentum conservation requires scattering by f_1^m

Implicit & explicit in KALOS

Fast electron collimation by self-generated magnetic field Bell & Kingham 2003

A new VFP code written by Michail Tzoufras

Based on spherical harmonics

A Vlasov-Fokker-Planck code for high energy density physics

M. Tzoufras^{a,b,*}, A.R. Bell^{a,b}, P.A. Norreys^b, F.S. Tsung^c

^aDepartment of Physics, University of Oxford, Clarendon Laboratory, Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3PU, UK ^bCentral Laser Facility, STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot, OX11 0QX, UK ^cDepartment of Physics and Astronomy, University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

> 4^{th} order Runge-Kutta Collisions conservative in number and energy Full f_0 , f_1 collision interaction

Reproduces Spitzer

Spitzer-Härm	Z = 1	Z = 2	Z = 4	<i>Z</i> = 16
heat conduction	$\kappa = 3.20$	$\kappa = 4.96$	$\kappa = 6.98$	$\kappa = 10.63$
Equation (39)	3.21	4.93	7.00	10.82*
Tri-diagonal terms	3.04	4.75	6.81	10.71*

Two-stream instability

Weibel instability

Weibel instability

Conclusion

VFP models kinetic phenomena on hydro timescales

Best method when particles are diffusive but not in thermal equilibrium

Recent technical advances increase range of application from collisionless to collision-dominated