

## **Central Laser Facility *Octopus* and *Ultra* facilities: Call for access**

**Period:** Access from January 2019 to June 2019

**Deadline:** Monday 16 July 2018

**Access mode: Direct Access and Approved Programmes:** *Octopus* and *Ultra*

**Access Mode: New programme access:** *Octopus*

**Please carefully read all the information below before submitting an application**

### **Information for applicants**

Applications are made through the STFC online submission system, which can be found here:

<https://proposal.isis.rl.ac.uk/home>

The information in this document can also be found under 'Calls for access to Octopus and Ultra' on the Central Laser Facility website:

<https://www.clf.stfc.ac.uk/Pages/Access-to-Octopus-and-Ultra.aspx>

All applications are peer reviewed by a facility access panel (FAP) comprising of UK and international academics.

### **Before applying**

**Applicants are strongly recommended to contact an appropriate CLF staff member to discuss requirements before applying, even if you have previously submitted a proposal.**

### **Eligibility for access to *Octopus* and *Ultra* through this call:**

- 1) UK academics: All UK academics, including those from Research Council institutes (including STFC staff) and those with Research Council senior or advanced research fellowships (or their equivalent) and Royal Society and Royal Academy of Engineering fellowships, will be eligible for access to the STFC facilities.
- 2) UK Postdoctoral Researchers: Postdoctoral researchers from UK universities are eligible for access.
- 3) Applicants must hold a position that lasts for the duration of the scheduling period.

### Applicants to ***Octopus*** please note:

- The facility operates with more than one user group simultaneously. All applicants **must** apply for sufficient access to cover the use of each microscope required. For example, a group intending to use two different Octopus microscopes simultaneously for one week should apply for two weeks of access. Applicants must include in their proposal a detailed breakdown of which Octopus microscopes they require, and the amount of time required on each. Proposals will be returned if this information is not provided.
- The guideline allocated time for programme access is a maximum of 4 weeks access for each 6 month period. Requests for additional time will require further justification.

### Applicants to ***Ultra*** please note:

Ultra offers 60 weeks of peer reviewed access per year (30 weeks per round) across three separate laser systems (see brochure for further information).

- Each laser system has both complementary (unique) and common capabilities. It is recommended, especially for new applicants, that you contact an Ultra staff member to discuss your specific needs.
- Should an applicant require the in-parallel use of multiple laser systems or separate experiments, the time requested should be calculated in one week blocks as the sum of the time requested for each experiment. For example, a group intending to use two different laser systems simultaneously for one week should apply for two weeks of access.
- Due to an increase in academic access to 60 weeks per year and additional industrial access, multiple user groups may be present at any one time. We therefore ask that all applicants base the personnel resourcing of their user-time on the figure of three people present at any one time on a particular instrument, with justification given to and permission sought from Ultra group leader Mike Towrie if extra numbers are required.

### Scheduling

Applicants should enter on the application form dates when they do not wish their experiments to be scheduled. We will endeavour to avoid scheduling experiments on dates indicated as unsuitable by the applicant.

### Experimental Reports from a previous user time

The CLF User Office requests *formal* reports for all Direct Access and ongoing Programmes. Repeated failure to supply requested reports may lead to the Facility Access Panel (FAP) deferring your proposal. Additionally, if you have submitted such a report to the CLF user office *from a user-time in the last access period*, or are still due access in the current access period prior to the call deadline, you must ensure that the peer review panel can view a report after the current call closes by sending a copy to the FAP coordinator Emma Gozzard ([emma.gozzard@stfc.ac.uk](mailto:emma.gozzard@stfc.ac.uk)), absolute deadline by Friday 3 August.

### Access Modes

Applicants are requested to state clearly in their application the period of access on which they are reporting.

### Direct Access

- Maximum three weeks of access during six month allocation period
- **Two A4 page** scientific case, including all figures, references, etc.
- Font size no less than 10 pt

Research Council grant funding is not a requirement for Direct Access. We encourage the submission of proposals aimed at collecting preliminary data to support future grant applications. Where this is the intention, it should be clearly stated in the proposal.

Direct Access proposals from applicants who already have Programme Access awarded as principal or co-investigator will only be considered if they are for a different project; this should be clearly demonstrated in the proposal, which should include an explanation as to why the Direct Access application is an entirely different project, for which it would not be appropriate to use existing Programme time.

*Although grant funding is not a requirement for Direct Access proposals, the panel will take funding into consideration when differentiating similarly ranked proposals, so please ensure that relevant grant funding is clearly identified in your application.*

#### **Continuation of Approved Programmes**

- Access time as part of an Approved Programme
- **Three A4 page** continuation case, including figures, references, etc.
  - One A4 page of progress to date (where time has already been used)
  - Two A4 pages of a detailed set of objectives for this period referring to the original proposal, with any changes to the original Programme clearly highlighted
- Font size no less than 10 pt

**New Programme Access:** Programme access can be awarded to UK academics in support of a research grant which has clearly demonstrated the need for a connected series of experiments. Grant applicants must have discussed their instrument and experimental requirements with the Facility Director prior to submission of their grant.

- **Six A4 page** detailed scientific case, including figures, references, etc.
  - Detailed scientific case and need for long-term programme access
  - Summary of the programme of work
  - Estimate of laser time requirements over the duration of the programme
- Evidence of support from UK Research Councils or alternative funding sources must be clear and demonstrate that the resources are sufficient to carry out the proposed programme
- Programmes are awarded up to a maximum of three years duration
- Font size no less than 10 pt
- Applicants for new programme access are required to give a 10 minute presentation to the facility access panel, outlining the application's science case, a specific plan and the need for programme access. Applicants must make themselves available on the date of the FAP meeting: **Tuesday 11 September 2018**, held at the Rutherford Appleton Laboratory.

The FAP will assess the scientific merit of the Programme Access proposal and propose a level of access, taking into account the need for a balanced programme on the instrument. The FAP cannot guarantee instrument time to programme proposals. Instead they will assign a likely minimum allocation per scheduling round and a maximum possible allocation. The actual assignment for any particular scheduling period is then dependent on the other demands on the instrument. The final decision is with the Facility Director.

In subsequent proposal rounds successful programme access applicants will still be required to submit a summary of their progress so far and an outline of the experimental programme to be

carried out in the new allocation round (see **Continuation of Approved Programme** above). The role of the FAP is to ensure that the work is progressing according to the original plans put forward at the time of the Programme Access Application. Groups with an approved programme are of course free to submit individual **Direct Access** proposals which clearly fall **outside** of the programme. However they should not submit 'top-up' proposals for experiments that do fall inside the programme.

If the FAP does not consider the application appropriate for Programme Access mode, it will treat the proposal as a Direct Access proposal for the round.

For further information please contact:

Dr Emma Gozzard, FAP Coordinator

[emma.gozzard@stfc.ac.uk](mailto:emma.gozzard@stfc.ac.uk)

+44 (0)1235 567716

or

Dr David Clarke, Division Head

[dave.clarke@stfc.ac.uk](mailto:dave.clarke@stfc.ac.uk)

+44(0)1235 567035

### Criteria for assessment of proposals

Applications will be assessed within the following criteria

#### Category 1. Absolute pre-requisite, without which an application will not be recommended for funding.

- Scientific excellence: specific objectives of the project
- International competitiveness
- Strategic value within the STFC / Octopus and Ultra programme

**Category 2. Supporting evidence** which increases the confidence in a successful outcome. Where any of these are not met the risk and any proposed remedial or mitigation action must be identified. Where any criteria are not met any recommendation for funding would be subjected to close scrutiny by STFC. If approved for funding, STFC is likely to make an award contingent on remedial action to address the concerns highlighted before funds are committed.

- Productivity of Investigator
- Productivity of grant supported staff (where relevant)
- Quality of leadership/management
- Suitability of Institution/Group

**Category 3. Important additional criteria:** training, RCUK funded research, strategic alignment, opportunities and plans which must be addressed in the application.

- Potential for economic impact
- Quality of impact plan (where applicable)
- Applicants are advised to consult the [guidance](#) on the above for further information
- Training
- Alignment with RCUK priority areas (e.g. demonstrated through allocation of strategically aligned grant funding)
- Originating from Fellow Residents of the Research Complex

- Combined access with Diamond or other major facilities at Harwell
- Associated with facility developments aligned with STFC strategy

Each facility access proposal will normally be assessed by at least two referees. Referees and panel members are required to disclose conflicts of interest, personal or institutional, where this arises in relation to a proposal they have been asked to assess. Applicants who lobby or canvass members of the peer review panels or their officers about their research proposal will be disqualified.

*In addition, please note the following:*

We endeavour to provide access to all proposals supported by Research Council grants. However, because of limited capacity we are unable to guarantee access to all grant-funded proposals. Where the panel feels that a grant-supported application has not provided adequate information on its proposed use of CLF facilities, we may ask for clarification and resubmission of the proposal in the following round before access can be awarded.

Applications that involve access to both the CLF and other facilities at Harwell (e.g. Diamond Light Source) are encouraged. For applications of this type, the scientific advantages of a joint facility approach should be clearly explained in the proposal, as well as any technical issues, e.g. current status of applications to the partner facility, laser-time awarded, etc. Note that for access to other Harwell Campus facilities (Diamond, ISIS etc.) separate applications need to be made to the other facility.

Proposals involving collaboration with someone who is not a co-investigator on the proposal require a letter of support from that person. This letter should be emailed to the CLF User Office after submission of the proposal, with the number of the proposal clearly identified in the email subject line.

The Government has announced the establishment of the Global Challenge Research Fund (GCRF) (<http://www.ukri.org/research/global-challenges-research-fund/>) to support research that addresses challenges faced by developing countries. This extends to facility access, meaning that if your proposal is compliant with Official Development Assistance (ODA) guidelines, this fund may be used to support facility access, including travel and subsistence to/from the partner country for investigators and collaborators. Consequently, the CLF encourages such proposals. If the research in your proposal is relevant, and will, or could be, conducted in collaboration with a developing country on the Development Assistance Committee (DAC) list (see link above), please provide us with additional information. Specifically, please include in your proposal key information that explicitly addresses the key questions below (max 1 page, to be appended to your science case):

- Which country/ countries on the Development Assistance Committee (DAC) list will directly benefit from this proposal?
- What development challenge in these countries is the proposal designed to address, and what evidence demonstrates a credible need for this specific proposal?
- How will the outcome of the proposed activities promote the economic development and/or welfare of a country or countries (not the individuals involved) on the DAC list?

The CLF can provide additional guidance prior to proposal submission if required.